arXiv:1608.08355v1 [math.CA] 30 Aug 2016

Sampling by Quaternion Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space Embedding

Dong Cheng, Kit Ian Kou*

Abstract

The Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov (WSK) sampling theorem provides a reconstruction formula for the bandlimited signals. In this paper, a novel kind of the WSK sampling theorem is established by using the theory of quaternion reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces. This generalization is employed to obtain the novel sampling formulas for the bandlimited quaternion-valued signals. A special case of our result is to show that the 2D generalized prolate spheroidal wave signals obtained by Slepian can be used to achieve a sampling series of cube-bandlimited signals. The solutions of energy concentration problems in Quaternion Fourier transform are also investigated.

Keywords: Spectral theorem, prolate spheroidal wave function, quaternion reproducing-kernel Hilbert spaces, quaternion Fourier transform, Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov sampling formulae.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B32; 94A11; 94A20

1 Introduction

The sampling theory, as one of the basic and fascinating topics in engineering sciences, is crucial for reconstructing the continuous signals from the information collected at a series of discrete points without aliasing because it bridges the continuous physical signals and the discrete domain. After the celebrated Whittaker Shannon Kotelnikov (WSK) sampling theorem established, there have been numerous proposals in the literature to generalize the classical WSK sampling expansions in various areas. The goal of this paper is to use the theory of quaternion reproducing kernel Hilbert method to obtain a generalization of WSK sampling for a general class of bandlimited quaternion-valued signals.

On the other hand, special functions [1] such as Hermite and Laguerre functions have played an important role in classical analysis and mathematical physics. In a series of papers, Slepian *et al.* [2, 3, 4, 5] extensively investigated the remarkable properties of the prolate spheroidal wave signals (PSWFs) which are a class of special functions. For fixed τ and σ, the PSWFs of degree n denoted by φ_n constitute an orthogonal basis of the space of σ-bandlimited signals with finite energy, that is, for continuous finite energy signals whose Fourier transforms have

*Dong Cheng and Kit Ian Kou are with the Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macau (e-mail: chengdong720@163.com; kikou@umac.mo).

support in $[-\sigma,\sigma]$. They are also maximally concentrated on the interval $[-\tau,\tau]$ and depend on parameters τ and σ. PSWFs are characterized as the eigenfunctions of an integral operator with kernel arising from the sinc functions $\mathrm{sinc}(x)=\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}$:

$$\frac{\sigma}{\pi}\int_{-\tau}^{\tau}\varphi_n(x)\mathrm{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\pi}(y-x)\right)dx=\mu_n\varphi_n(y),\quad |y|\leq\tau. \tag{1.1}$$

It has been shown that (1.1) has solutions in $L^2([-\tau,\tau])$ only for a discrete set of real positive values of μ_n say $\mu_1>\mu_2>...$ and that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_n=0$. The corresponding solutions, or eigenfunctions, $\varphi_{1,}(y),\varphi_2(y),...$ can be chosen to be real and orthogonal on $(-\tau,\tau)$.

The variational problem that led to (1.1) only requires that equation to hold for $|y|\leq\tau$. With $\varphi_n(x)$ on the left-hand side of (1.1) gives for $|x|\leq\tau$, however, the left is well defined for all y. We use this to extend the range of definition of the φ_n's and so define

$$\varphi_n(y):=\frac{\sigma}{\pi\mu_n}\int_{-\tau}^{\tau}\varphi_n(x)\mathrm{sinc}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\pi}(y-x)\right)dx,\quad |y|\geq\tau.$$

The eigenfunctions φ_n are now defined for all y. This leads to a dual orthogonality

$$\int_{-\tau}^{\tau}\varphi_n(x)\varphi_m(x)dx=\mu_n\delta_{mn}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\varphi_n(x)\varphi_m(x)dx=\delta_{mn}. \tag{1.3}$$

In [6], Zayed proved that there are other systems of functions possess similar properties to those of Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions (PSWFs). Moumni and Zayed [7] then extended the results to the higher dimension and derived a novel sampling formula for general class of bandlimited functions. This sampling formula [7] is a generalization of Walter and Shen's result [8] on sampling with the PSWFs. In the present paper, we study the Prolate Spheroidal Quaternion Wave Signals (PSQWSs), which refine and extend the PSWFs. The PSQWSs are ideally suited to study certain questions regarding the relationship between quaternionic signals and their Fourier transforms. We illustrate how to apply the PSQWSs for the quaternionic Fourier transform to analyze Slepian's energy concentration problem and sampling theory. We address all the above issues and explore some basic facts of the arising quaternionic function theory.

Quaternion and Quaternionic Fourier transform have already shown advantages over complex and classical Fourier transform within color image processing, computer graphics, and robotics communities, for their modelling of rotation, orientation, and cross-information between multichannel data, see for instance, [9, 10, 11, 12]. We are motivated to develop a counterpart of the PSWFs in quaternion algebra. We apply the theory of reproducing-kernel Hilbert spaces and compact normal operators on Hilbert spaces, which are used in [7] to the Quaternion algebra. Due to the non-commutative property of the quaternion ring, there are some differences in the proof between the quaternions and complex cases.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we collect some basic concepts in quaternion analysis. In Section 3, we derive a spectral theorem for compact normal operators of quaternionic Hilbert spaces. In Section 4, we introduce the PSQWSs and some of their properties. Moreover, these signals are used to obtain two sampling formulae of bandlimited

quaternion-valued signals. In Section 5, we present an example which demonstrate our results. More importantly, the maximum-energy-problem (Slepian's energy concentration problem) is also investigated.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quaternion Algebra

Throughout the paper, let

$$\mathbb{H} := \{q = q_0 + \mathbf{i}q_1 + \mathbf{j}q_2 + \mathbf{k}q_3 |\ q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

be the *Hamiltonian skew field of quaternions*, where the elements $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ obey the Hamilton's multiplication rules:

$$\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1.$$

For every quaternion $q := q_0 + \underline{q}$, $\underline{q} := \mathbf{i}q_1 + \mathbf{j}q_2 + \mathbf{k}q_3$, the scalar and vector parts of q, are defined as $\mathrm{Sc}(q) := q_0$ and $\mathrm{Vec}(q) := \underline{q}$, respectively. If $q = \mathrm{Vec}(q)$, then q is called pure imaginary quaternion. The quaternion conjugate is defined by $\overline{q} := q_0 - \underline{q} = q_0 - \mathbf{i}q_1 - \mathbf{j}q_2 - \mathbf{k}q_3$, and the norm $|q|$ of q is defined as $|q|^2 := q\overline{q} = \overline{q}q = \sum_{m=0}^{3} q_m^2$. Then we have

$$\overline{\overline{q}} = q, \quad \overline{p+q} = \overline{p} + \overline{q}, \quad \overline{pq} = \overline{q}\,\overline{p}, \quad |pq| = |p||q|, \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Using the conjugate and norm of q, one can define the inverse of $q \in \mathbb{H}\backslash\{0\}$ as $q^{-1} := \overline{q}/|q|^2$. The quaternion has subsets $\mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := \{a + b\boldsymbol{\mu} : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, |\boldsymbol{\mu}| = 1, \boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathrm{Vec}(\boldsymbol{\mu})\}$. For each fixed unit pure imaginary quaternion $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is isomorphic to the complex plane.

2.2 Quaternion Module and Quaternionic Hilbert Space

In order to state our results, we shall need some further notations. The left quaternion module is similar with the right quaternion module, except that the quaternion ring acts on the left. The right quaternion module version of all these facts can be found e.g. in ([13, 14]).

Definition 2.1 (Quaternion module) *Let H be a left quaternion module, that is, H consists of an abelian group with a left scalar multiplication $(q, u) \mapsto qu$ from $\mathbb{H} \times H$ into H, such that for all $u, v \in H$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$*

$$(p+q)u = pu + qu, \quad p(u+v) = pu + qu, \quad (pq)u = p(qu).$$

Definition 2.2 (Quaternionic pre-Hilbert space) *A left quaternion module H is called quaternionic pre-Hilbert space if there exists a quaternion-valued function (inner product) $(\cdot,\cdot) : H \times H \to \mathbb{H}$ with the following properties:*

1) $(u, v) = \overline{(v, u)}$;

2) $(pu + qv, w) = p(u, w) + q(v, w)$;

3) $(u, u) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $(u, u) = 0$ *if and only if* $u = 0$;

4) $(0, v) = (u, 0) = 0$*;*

5) $(u, pv + qw) = (u, v)\overline{p} + (u, w)\overline{q}$*;*

where $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ *and* $u, v, w \in H$*.*

For each $u \in H$, putting $\|u\|^2 = (u, u)$, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and triangular inequality (see [13]) hold as $|(u, v)|^2 \leq (u, u)(v, v)$ and $\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$. The quaternionic pre-Hilbert space H is said to be a quaternionic Hilbert space if it is complete under the norm $\|\cdot\|$. In what follows, by the notation H, we mean a (left) quaternionic Hilbert space.

For each $A \subset H$, define $A^{\perp} := \{u \in H |\ (u, v) = 0, \forall v \in A\}$ and $\mathrm{Span}(A) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_k u_k : q_k \in \mathbb{H}, u_k \in A, n \geq 1 \right\}$. Define $U(H) := \{u \in H, \|u\| = 1\}$. A is called an orthonormal set in H if $A \subset U(H)$ and for any $u, v \in A$, $(u, v) = 0$ for $u \neq v$.

Theorem 2.3 *Let* E *be an orthonormal set in* H*. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1) E *is a maximal orthonomal set (i.e. if* E' *is an orthonomal set such that* $E \subset E'$*, then* $E' = E$ *).*

2) E *is total in* H*, that is,* $\overline{\mathrm{Span}(E)} = H$*.*

3) $E^{\perp} = \{0\}$*.*

4) $u = \sum_{z \in E} (u, z) z$ *holds for every* $u \in H$*;*

5) $(u, v) = \sum_{z \in E} (u, z)(z, v)$ *holds for all* $u, v \in H$*.*

6) $\|u\| = \sum_{z \in E} |(u, z)|^2$ *holds for every* $u \in H$*.*

Theorem 2.4 *Let* A *be a left* $\mathbb{H}$*-linear subspace in* H*. Then the following assertions hold.*

1) $A^{\perp}$ *is a left* $\mathbb{H}$*-linear closed subspace of* H*.*

2) If A *is closed, then* $A = A^{\perp\perp}$ *and* $H = A \oplus A^{\perp}$*, every* $u \in H$ *admits a unique decomposition* $u = u_1 + u_2$ *with* $u_1 \in A$ *and* $u_2 \in A^{\perp}$*.*

3) If A *is closed, calling* $P_A(u) = u_1, u \in H$*, we obtain that* P_A *is a projection operator in* H*. Moreover* $A = \mathrm{Range}(P_A)$*,* $A^{\perp} = \mathrm{Null}(P_A)$*.*

A left $\mathbb{H}$-linear operator is a map $\mathcal{T} : H \to H$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}(pu + qv) = p\mathcal{T}(u) + q\mathcal{T}(v)$$

if $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ and $u, v \in H$. Such an operator is called bounded if there exists a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $u \in H$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}u\| \leq c\|u\|.$$

As in the complex case, the norm of a bounded $\mathbb{H}$-linear operator T is defined by

$$\|\mathcal{T}\| = \sup \{\|\mathcal{T}u\| : \|u\| \leq 1\}.$$

The set of bounded left $\mathbb{H}$-linear operators is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Proposition 2.5 *Equip $\mathcal{B}(H)$ with the metric* $\mathrm{Dist}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) = \|\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_2\|$. *Then $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is a complete metric space.*

For every $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, the Riesz representation theorem, as proposed in [13], guarantees that there exists a unique operator $\mathcal{T}^* \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, which is called the adjoint of $\mathcal{T}$, such that for all $u, v \in H$, $(\mathcal{T}u, v) = (u, \mathcal{T}^* v)$.

Definition 2.6 *Like the complex case, an operator $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is said to be*

1) self-adjoint if $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^*$;

2) positive if $\mathcal{T}$ is self-adjoint and $(\mathcal{T}u, u) \geq 0$ for every $u \in H$;

3) normal if $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T}$;

4) compact if for every bounded set B of H, $\overline{\mathcal{T}(B)}$ is a compact set of H.

The set of all compact operators on H is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_0(H)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_0(H) \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$. Indeed, $\mathcal{B}_0(H)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ (see e.g. [15]) just like the complex case.

3 A Spectral Theorem for Compact Normal Operators in Quaternionic Hilbert Space

Over the years, the spectral properties of bounded operators in quaternionic Hilbert space have been studied (see e.g. [15, 16, 17]). Ghiloni, Moretti and Perotti presented a spectral theorem for compact normal operators in right quaternionic Hilbert space in [17]. However, they did not sort the eigenvalues by norm in descending order. In this part, we use different approaches from those used in [17] to derive a spectral theorem for compact normal operators. More importantly, the eigenvalues are sorted by norm in descending order.

As in the complex case, define the eigenvalue q of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by

$$\mathcal{T}u = qu, \quad u \in H \setminus \{0\}.$$

However, as mentioned in [14], the eigenspace of q cannot be a left $\mathbb{H}$-linear subspace. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then λu is an eigenvector of $\lambda q \lambda^{-1}$ rather than q. The eigenvalues of compact normal operators are not necessary to be real. It is hard to consider the spectral property of compact normal operators, because there is a big difference between the complex case and the quaternionic case to consider this problem.

Therefore, we need to consider the entire similarity orbit $\theta(q)$ of q (see [16]):

$$\theta(q) := \left\{\lambda q \lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}\right\} = \left\{\lambda q \overline{\lambda} : \lambda \in \mathbb{H}, |\lambda| = 1\right\}.$$

If $q \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\theta(q)$ contains only one element. In all other cases, $\theta(q)$ contains infinitely many elements. But the following lemma indicate that only two of those are complex.

Lemma 3.1 ([16]) *If $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is nonreal, then there is a nonreal $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ such that $\theta(q) \cap \mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \left\{\lambda, \overline{\lambda}\right\}$. In particular, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, then $\theta(\lambda) \cap \mathbb{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \left\{\lambda, \overline{\lambda}\right\}$.*

Lemma 3.2 *If $\theta(q_1) \cap \theta(q_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $\theta(q_1) = \theta(q_2)$.*

For each $\theta(q)$, define the corresponding characteristic set as

$$H_q := \{u \in H : \mathcal{T}u = pu, p \in \theta(q)\}.$$

Clearly, H_q is a left $\mathbb{H}$-linear subspace of H when $q \in \mathbb{R}$. As H_q is not always a left $\mathbb{H}$-linear subspace of H, we call H_q an eigenspace only when H_q is a left $\mathbb{H}$-linear subspace of H.

Given the similarity between complex Hilbert space and quaternionic Hilbert space, some proofs of the following results are omitted and the proofs of complex version can be found in [18, 19]. We only present the proofs of those which deserve an explicit proof.

Lemma 3.3 *$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a compact operator if and only if for every bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H$, the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.*

Proposition 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a normal operator. Then the following assertion hold.*

1) $\|\mathcal{T}u\| = \|\mathcal{T}^*u\|$ for every $u \in H$;

2) $\mathcal{T}u = qu$ if and only if $\mathcal{T}^*u = \overline{q}u$, where $u \in H$ and $q \in \mathbb{H}$;

3) if q_1 and q_2 are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\theta(q_1) \neq \theta(q_2)$, then $H_{q_1} \perp H_{q_2}$.

Proof. 2 It can be easily seen from $(\mathcal{T}u - qu, \mathcal{T}u - qu) = (\mathcal{T}^*u - \overline{q}u, \mathcal{T}^*u - \overline{q}u)$. 3 If $\mathcal{T}u = \lambda_1 u$ $(\lambda_1 \in \theta(q_1))$ and $\mathcal{T}v = \lambda_2 v$ $(\lambda_2 \in \theta(q_2))$, we have $\mathcal{T}^*u = \overline{\lambda_1}u$ and $\mathcal{T}^*v = \overline{\lambda_2}v$ by 2. Therefore

$$\lambda_1(u, v) = (\mathcal{T}u, v) = (u, \mathcal{T}^*v) = (u, \overline{\lambda_2}v) = (u, v)\lambda_2.$$

By Lemma 3.2, $\theta(q_1) \cap \theta(q_2) = \emptyset$, thus $(u, v) = 0$. □

Proposition 3.5 *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a compact self-adjoint operator. Then the following assertion hold.*

1) $\|\mathcal{T}\| = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V(\mathcal{T})\}$, where $V(\mathcal{T}) = \{(\mathcal{T}u, u) : u \in U(H)\}$;

2) every eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}$ is real;

3) $\mathcal{T}$ has an eigenvalue of absolute value $\|\mathcal{T}\|$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{T}$ is positive, then $\|\mathcal{T}\|$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}$.

Since every eigenvalue of compact self-adjoint operator is real. So it is easy to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6 *Let H be a left quaternionic Hilbert space and $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a compact self-joint operator. Then there is a (possibly finite) sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of real numbers satisfying $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots$ and a sequence $\{\xi_k\} \subset U(H)$ such that*

1) $\{\lambda_k\}$ are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\lambda_k \to 0$ if $\{\lambda_k\}$ is infinite;

2) $\mathcal{T}\xi_k = \lambda_k\xi_k$ for every k. For every $u \in H$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(u, \xi_k)\lambda_k\xi_k;$$

3) if 0 is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}$, then $\{\xi_k\}$ is a orthonormal basis of H;

4) $\dim H_{\lambda_k} < \infty$ for $\lambda_k \neq 0$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{T} = 0$, we are done. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} \neq 0$, then $\|\mathcal{T}\| \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.5, there exists a pair $(\lambda_1, \xi_1) \in \mathbb{R} \times U(H)$ satisfying $|\lambda_1| = \|\mathcal{T}\|$ such that $\mathcal{T}\xi_1 = \lambda_1\xi_1$. Let $W_1 = \mathrm{Span}\{\xi_1\}$, $V_1 = \{u \in H : u \perp \xi_1\}$, then W_1, V_1 are left $\mathbb{H}$-linear closed subspace of H and $H = W_1 \oplus V_1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{T}(V_1) \subset V_1$, since if $v \in V_1$, we have

$$(\mathcal{T}v, \xi_1) = (v, \mathcal{T}^*\xi_1) = (v, \overline{\lambda_1}\xi_1) = (v, \xi_1)\lambda_1 = 0.$$

V_1 is still a left quaternionic Hilbert space. Define $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}|_{V_1}$, then $\mathcal{T}_1$ a compact self-joint operator on V_1. If $\mathcal{T}_1 = 0$, then for any $u \in H$, we have $u = w_1 + v_1$, where $w_1 \in W_1$, $v_1 \in V_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}u &= \mathcal{T}w_1 + \mathcal{T}v_1 = \mathcal{T}(w_1, \xi_1)\xi_1 + 0 = \mathcal{T}(u, \xi_1)\xi_1 \\ &= (u, \xi_1)\mathcal{T}\xi_1 = (u, \xi_1)\lambda_1\xi_1.\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{T}_1 \neq 0$, then there exists a pair $(\lambda_2, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times U(V_1)$ satisfying $|\lambda_2| = \|\mathcal{T}_1\|$ such that $\mathcal{T}\xi_2 = \lambda_2\xi_2$. Let $W_2 = \mathrm{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$, $V_2 = \{u \in H : u \perp W_2\}$, then W_2, V_2 are left $\mathbb{H}$-linear closed subspace of H and $H = W_2 \oplus V_2$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{T}(V_2) \subset V_2$. Let $\mathcal{T}_2 = \mathcal{T}_1|_{V_2} = 0$, then for any $u \in H$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}u = (u, \xi_1)\lambda_1\xi_1 + (u, \xi_2)\lambda_2\xi_2.$$

If $\mathcal{T}_2 \neq 0$, continue the above procedure. If there is a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{T}_n = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{T}u = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(u, \xi_k)\lambda_k\xi_k.$$

Otherwise, λ_k is infinite, and $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots$. From the definitions of W_n and V_n, we have $\xi_k \perp \xi_l$ for $k \neq l$. Furthermore, $\lambda_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. If not, there is a subsequence $\{\lambda_{s_m}\}$ such that $|\lambda_{s_m}| \geq \delta > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{T}\xi_{s_m} - \mathcal{T}\xi_{s_n}\|^2 &= \|\mathcal{T}\xi_{s_m}\|^2 + \|\mathcal{T}\xi_{s_n}\|^2 \\ &= |\lambda_{s_m}|^2 + |\lambda_{s_n}|^2 \geq 2\delta^2 > 0,\end{aligned}$$

which is contradict with the compactness of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $W_\infty = \overline{\mathrm{Span}\{\xi_k : k \geq 1\}}$ and $V_\infty = \{u \in H : u \perp W_\infty\} \subset V_k$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$. If $u \in V_\infty$, then

$$|(\mathcal{T}u, u)| = |(\mathcal{T}_k u, u)| \leq \|\mathcal{T}_k\|\|u\|^2 = |\lambda_k|\|u\|^2 \to 0,$$

as $k \to \infty$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{T}u, u) = 0$ for every $u \in V_\infty$. Given $u \in U(V_\infty)$, let $v \in U(V_\infty)$ be such that $\mathcal{T}u = \|\mathcal{T}u\|v$. Then $(\mathcal{T}u, v) = (u, \mathcal{T}v) = \|\mathcal{T}u\|$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{T}u\| &= (\mathcal{T}u, v) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left[(\mathcal{T}(u+v), u+v) - (\mathcal{T}(u-v), u-v)\right] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}|_{V_\infty} = 0$. Therefore, for every $u \in H$, let $u = w_\infty + v_\infty$, where $w_\infty \in W_\infty$ and $v_\infty \in V_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}u &= \mathcal{T}w_\infty + \mathcal{T}v_\infty = \mathcal{T}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(w_\infty, \xi_k)\xi_k) + 0 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(w_\infty, \xi_k)\mathcal{T}\xi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(w_\infty, \xi_k)\lambda_k\xi_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(u, \xi_k)\lambda_k\xi_k.\end{aligned}$$

The third equality holds for the boundness of $\mathcal{T}$. If 0 is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}$, then $H = W_\infty$ and $\{\xi_k\}$ is a orthonormal basis of H. $\dim H_{\lambda_k} < \infty$ for $\lambda_k \neq 0$ as $\mathcal{T}$ is compact. □

Theorem 3.7 *Let H be a left quaternionic Hilbert space and $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a compact positive (self-joint) operator. Then there is a (possibly finite) sequence $\{\mu_k\}$ of nonnegative real numbers satisfying $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \cdots$ such that*

1) $\{\mu_k\}$ are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mu_k \to 0$ if $\{\mu_k\}$ is infinite;

2) if $H_k = \{u \in H : \mathcal{T}u = pu, p \in \theta(\mu_k)\}$,then $H_k \perp H_l$ for $k \neq l$ and $\dim H_k < \infty$ for $\mu_k \neq 0$.

An exposition of the spectral theory of normal matrices with quaternion entries was presented in [16] by Farenick and Pidkowich. They also obtained a spectral theorem for compact operators in n-dimensional quaternionic Hilbert spaces by establishing relations between compact operators and quaternion normal matrices.

Theorem 3.8 *Assume that H is an n-dimensional quaternionic Hilbert space.Then an operator $\mathcal{T} : H \to H$ is normal if and only if there exists an orthonormal set $E = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n\} \subset U(H)$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^+$ such that:*

1) $\mathcal{T}\xi_k = \lambda_k\xi_k$;

2) $\mathcal{T}u = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(u, \xi_k)\lambda_k\xi_k$ for any $u \in H$.

Remark 3.9 *Theorem 3.8 is just the left version of Farenick and Pidkowich's result in [16]. Indeed, λ_k is not necessary to be restricted in $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}}^+$, it can be any elements of $\theta(\lambda_k)$.*

Theorem 3.10 *If n is a positive integer and H_0 is an n-dimensional subspace of a quaternionic Hilbert space H, then*

1) every one-to-one $\mathbb{H}$-linear mapping of $\mathbb{H}^n$ onto H_0 is a isomorphism, and

2) H_0 is closed, that is, H_0 is complete.

From Theorem 3.7, we see that every eigenspace belonging to the non-zero eigenvalues of a compact positive operator is finite-dimensional. Noticing that every normal operator in finite-dimensional quaternionic Hilbert spaces can be diagonalized, we obtain the following spectral theorem for compact normal operator in quaternionic Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 3.11 *Let H be a (left) quaternionic Hilbert space and $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a compact normal operator. Then there is a (possibly finite) sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of quaternions satisfying $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots$ and a sequence $\{\xi_k\} \in U(H)$ such that*

1) $\{\lambda_k\}$ are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{T}$ and $|\lambda_k| \to 0$ if $\{\lambda_k\}$ is infinite;

2) $\mathcal{T}\xi_k = \lambda_k \xi_k$ and $\mathcal{K}\xi_k = |\lambda_k|^2 \xi_k$ for every k, where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*$. For every $u \in H$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u, \xi_k)\lambda_k \xi_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u, \xi_k)|\lambda_k|^2 \xi_k;$$

3) if 0 is not a eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}$, then $\{\xi_k\}$ is a orthonormal basis of H.

Proof. Let $H_1, H_2, ...$ be the eigenspaces belonging to the non-zero eigenvalues $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > ...$ of the compact positive operator $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T}$, and let $H_0 = \text{Ker}\mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{K}$, each $H_n (n \geq 1)$ is invariant under $\mathcal{T}$, i.e. $\mathcal{T}(H_n) \subset H_n$. For $u \in H_0$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}u\|^2 = (\mathcal{T}u, \mathcal{T}u) = (\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T}u, u) = (\mathcal{K}x, x) = 0$$

and so $\mathcal{T}|_{H_0} = 0$. Furthermore, for $n \geq 1$ the restriction of $\mathcal{T}$ to H_n is normal; as H_n is finite-dimensional, we know from Theorem 3.8 that H_n has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of $\mathcal{T}$. Denote the dimension of $H_n (n \geq 1)$ by l_n and let $l_0 = 0$, $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} l_n$, then we can find $\lambda_{s_{n-1}+1}, \lambda_{s_{n-1}+2}, ..., \lambda_{s_n} \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\{\xi_{s_{n-1}+1}, \xi_{s_{n-1}+2}, ..., \xi_{s_n}\} \subset H_n$ such that

1) $\mathcal{T}\xi_k = \lambda_k \xi_k$ for every $s_{n-1} < k \leq s_n$;

2) $\mathcal{K}\xi_k = |\lambda_k|^2 \xi_k$ for every $s_{n-1} < k \leq s_n$;

3) $|\lambda_k|^2 \in \theta(\mu_n) = \{\mu_n\}$, i.e. $|\lambda_k|^2 = \mu_n$ for every $s_{n-1} < k \leq s_n$.

Taking the union of these bases, together with an orthonomal basis of H_0, we obtain an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of $\mathcal{T}$. □

Remark 3.12 *Unlike the complex cases, λ_k can be replaced any element in $\theta(\lambda_k)$ of Theorem 3.11.*

4 Prolate Spheroidal Quaternion Wave Signals

Recently, the existence and uniqueness conditions of quaternion reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (QRKHS) were established by Tabar and Mandic in [20]. They also introduced the quaternion version of positive definiteness kernel and Moore-Aronszajn theorem.

To study the integral transforms in quaternionic Hilbert spaces, we follow similar techniques to those used in [21] by Saitoh. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be an arbitrary set and $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{X})$ a $\mathbb{H}$-linear space composed of all $\mathbb{H}$-valued signals on $\mathbf{X}$. Let H be a (possibly finite-dimensional) quaternionic Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_H$, and $E : \mathbf{X} \to H$ be a vector-valued function from $\mathbf{X}$ into H. Consider the left $\mathbb{H}$-linear mapping $\mathcal{T}$ from H into $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{X})$ defined by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{T}F)(\mathbf{x}) = (F, E(\mathbf{x}))_H,$$

where $\mathcal{T}F = f$, $F \in H$, $f \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{X})$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ and N denote the range and null space of $\mathcal{T}$. Then N is a closed subspace of H. Denote $M = N^{\perp}$, then $H = M \oplus N$.

Theorem 4.1 *$(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a quaternionic Hilbert space that is isometric to $(M, (\cdot,\cdot)_H)$, where*

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathcal{T}F, \mathcal{T}G)_{\mathcal{H}} = (P_M F, P_M G)_H. \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a quaternion reproducing kernel Hilbert space with the kernel $S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ defined as

$$S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (E(\mathbf{y}), E(\mathbf{x}))_H.$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar with its complex version, but for the sake of the convenience we present the proof here. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}$ is a bijection from M into H. It implies that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a unique element $F_f^M \in M$ such that $\mathcal{T}F_f^M = f$. We can show that $\|F_f^M\|_H^2 = \inf\{\|F\|_H^2 : f = \mathcal{T}F\}$. It is obviously that $\|F_f^M\|_H^2 \geq \inf\{\|F\|_H^2 : f = \mathcal{T}F\}$. On the other hand, for every $F \in \{F \in H : \mathcal{T}F = f\}$, it can be decomposed as $F = F_f^M + F'$,where $F' \in N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_H^2 &= (F_f^M + F', F_f^M + F')_H \\ &= \|F_f^M\|_H^2 + (F', F_f^M)_H + (F_f^M, F')_H + \|F'\|_H^2 \\ &= \|F_f^M\|_H^2 + \|F'\|_H^2 \\ &\geq \|F_f^M\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\inf\{\|F\|_H^2 : f = \mathcal{T}F\} \geq \|F_f^M\|_H^2$. Hence $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|F_f^M\|_H^2 = \inf\{\|F\|_H^2 : f = \mathcal{T}F\}$ and $\mathcal{T}\,|_M$ is an isometry between $(M, (\cdot,\cdot)_H)$ and $(\mathcal{H}, (\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}})$.

Next, we prove that for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}, E(\mathbf{x}) \in M$. Noticing that when $F \in N$, then for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (F, E(\mathbf{x}))_H = 0.$$

That means for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$, $E(\mathbf{x}) \in N^{\perp} = M$ and $P_M E(\mathbf{x}) = E(\mathbf{x})$. Since $S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (E(\mathbf{y}), E(\mathbf{x}))_H = \mathcal{T}(E(\mathbf{y}))(\mathbf{x})$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} (f, S(\cdot, \mathbf{y}))_{\mathcal{H}} &= (\mathcal{T}F, \mathcal{T}(E(\mathbf{y})))_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= (P_M F, P_M E(\mathbf{y}))_H \\ &= (F_f^M, E(\mathbf{y}))_H = \mathcal{T}F_f^M(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

For $\mathbb{H}$-valued signals $f, g : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbb{H}$ where $\mathbf{D}$ is a compact connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we can define the $\mathbb{H}$-valued inner product $(f, g) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} f(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{g(\boldsymbol{\omega})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}$. The left $\mathbb{H}$-linear quaternionic Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$ consists of all $\mathbb{H}$-valued signals which are square-integrable on $\mathbf{D}$:

$$L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H}) = \left\{ f | f : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbb{H}, \|f\| := \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(\boldsymbol{\omega})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\omega} < \infty \right\}.$$

Now we apply Theorem 4.1 to a specific case. Let $H = L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$ and $\mathbf{X}$ be an open, connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ containing $\mathbf{D}$. The $\mathbb{H}$-valued function $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})$ on $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{X}$ satisfying $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$. In the next, we consider the integral transform of $F \in L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{T}F)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}. \tag{4.2}$$

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose that $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})$ is square-integrable on $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}$. Then $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}_0(H)$ where $H = L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is given by (4.2).*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathcal{T}$ is linear. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{D}} |f(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega} \right|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} |F(\boldsymbol{\omega})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\omega} \int_{\mathbf{D}} |E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\omega} \right) d\mathbf{x} \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{D}} |F(\boldsymbol{\omega})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\omega} \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} |E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\omega} d\mathbf{x} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f(\mathbf{x}) \in H$. Since $\|\mathcal{T}F\|^2 \leq \alpha^2 \|F\|^2$, where

$$\alpha = \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}^2} |E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})|^2 d\boldsymbol{\omega} d\mathbf{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then $\mathcal{T}$ is bounded.

Suppose $\{e_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H. It is easily checked that $\overline{e_n(\mathbf{x})}e_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbf{D}^2, \mathbb{H})$. Thus $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m,n} c_{mn}\overline{e_n(\mathbf{x})}e_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{mn} &= \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})\overline{\overline{e_n(\mathbf{x})}e_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})\overline{e_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})}e_n(\mathbf{x})d\boldsymbol{\omega}d\mathbf{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Parseval's identity, we have $\sum\limits_{m,n} |c_{mn}|^2 = \alpha^2 < \infty$. For each $e_m(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in H$, $g_m(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{(\mathcal{T}e_m)(\mathbf{x})} \in H$, then

$$g_m(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_n d_{mn}e_n(\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{mn} &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} g_m(\mathbf{x})\overline{e_n(\mathbf{x})}d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\overline{\int_{\mathbf{D}} e_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}} \right) \overline{e_n(\mathbf{x})}d\mathbf{x} \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})\overline{e_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})}e_n(\mathbf{x})d\boldsymbol{\omega}d\mathbf{x} = c_{mn}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{m,n} |c_{mn}|^2$ converges, then

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \|\mathcal{T}e_m\|^2 = \lim_{m\to\infty} \|g_m\|^2 = \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{mn}|^2 = 0.$$

In fact, for the same reason, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{m>k} \|\mathcal{T}e_m\|^2 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{m>k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_{mn}|^2 = 0.$$

For every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}$, $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) \in H$, thus

$$E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (E(\cdot, \mathbf{x}), e_n(\cdot)) e_n(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{T}e_n(\mathbf{x})} e_n(\boldsymbol{\omega}).$$

Truncate the kernel $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})$ by

$$E_k(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{T}e_n(\mathbf{x})} e_n(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_{n=1}^{k} g_n(\mathbf{x}) e_n(\boldsymbol{\omega}),$$

These give the finite-rank operators

$$(\mathcal{T}_k F)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \overline{E_k(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})} d\boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad \text{for every} \quad F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \in H.$$

We claim that $\{\mathcal{T}_k\}$ tend to $\mathcal{T}$ in operator norm. Indeed, for every $F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \sum_n b_n e_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ in H, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_k)F)(\mathbf{x}) \\
= \; & (F, E(\cdot, \mathbf{x})) - (F, E_k(\cdot, \mathbf{x})) \\
= \; & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F, e_n)(e_n, E(\cdot, \mathbf{x})) - (F, \sum_{n=1}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{T}e_n(\mathbf{x})} e_n) \\
= \; & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F, e_n) \mathcal{T}e_n(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} (F, e_n) \mathcal{T}e_n(\mathbf{x}) \\
= \; & \sum_{n>k} b_n \mathcal{T}e_n(\mathbf{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_k)F\| &= \left\| \sum_{n>k} b_n \mathcal{T}e_n \right\| \\
&\le \sum_{n>k} |b_n| \, \|\mathcal{T}e_n\| \\
&\le \left(\sum_{n>k} |b_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n>k} \|\mathcal{T}e_n\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \|F\| \left(\sum_{n>k} \|\mathcal{T}e_n\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{n>k}\|\mathcal{T}e_n\|^2=0$, we have $\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathcal{T}_k=\mathcal{T}$.

Since every finite-rank operator is compact, and $\mathcal{B}_0(H)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, thus $\mathcal{T}$ is compact. □

Remark 4.3 *By Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we see that $\mathcal{T}$ can be regarded an operator of both $\mathcal{B}(H,\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}(H)$.*

Theorem 4.4 *Let $E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})$ be a $\mathbb{H}$-valued continuous function defined on $\mathbf{X}^2\subset\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1) $E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})=E(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\omega})$ for every $(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})\in\mathbf{X}^2$.

2) $A=\{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})\}_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}}\subset H=L^2(\mathbf{D},\mathbb{H})$ and $A^\perp=\{0\}$.

3) $S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=\int_{\mathbf{D}}E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}\in\mathbb{R}$ for every $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\in\mathbf{X}^2$;

4) $\int_{\mathbf{D}}E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}=\int_{\mathbf{D}}\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})d\boldsymbol{\omega}$ for every $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\in\mathbf{X}^2$.

Then we can find a countably infinite set of $\mathbb{H}$-valued signals $\{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ called Prolate Spheroidal Quaternion Wave Signals(PSQWSs) and a set of $\mathbb{H}$-valued numbers $|\lambda_1|\geq|\lambda_2|\geq\cdots$ with the following properties:

1) The $\phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ are $\mathbf{D}$-bandlimited in the sense of transformation $\mathcal{T}$, orthonormal and complete in $\mathcal{H}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a quaternionic Hilbert space with the inner product defined by (4.1):

$$(\phi_m,\phi_n)_{\mathcal{H}}=\delta_{mn}.$$

2) The $\phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ are orthogonal and complete in $L^2(\mathbf{D},\mathbb{H})$:

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}}\phi_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{\phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}=\mu_n\delta_{mn},\quad\text{with}\quad\mu_n=|\lambda_n|^2.$$

3) For every $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}}\phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}=\lambda_n\phi_n(\mathbf{x}),\tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}}\phi_n(\mathbf{y})S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\,d\mathbf{y}=\mu_n\phi_n(\mathbf{x}).\tag{4.4}$$

4) The $\phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ $(n=1,2,\cdots)$ are uniformly continuous on $\mathbf{X}_1$, where $\mathbf{X}_1$ being any compact subset of $\mathbf{X}$; and, hence $\phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ is continuous on $\mathbf{X}$.

5) $E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})$ and $S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ can be expanded by ϕ_n:

$$E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})}\lambda_n^{-1}\phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\quad(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})\in\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{X}\tag{4.5}$$

and

$$S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{y})}\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\quad(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\in\mathbf{X}^2.\tag{4.6}$$

For any fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$, *the series (4.5) converges in the norm. The series (4.6) converges absolutely on* $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X}$. *Furthermore, if* $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})$ *is bounded on* $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{X}$, *then the series (4.6) converges uniformly on* $\mathbf{X}_1 \times \mathbf{X}_2$, *where* $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2$ *being any compact subsets of* $\mathbf{X}$.

6) *If there exists a sequence of points* $\{\mathbf{x}_n\} \subset \mathbf{X}$ *such that* $\{E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}_n)\}$ *is an orthonormal basis of* $L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$, *then for any* $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}_n) S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_n) \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}_m) \overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_m)} \right) \phi_n(\mathbf{x}). \tag{4.8}$$

7) *The signals* $\{\phi_n\}$ *satisfy the discrete orthogonality relation*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_l)} \phi_n(\mathbf{x}_m) = \delta_{ml};$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n.$$

8) *For every* $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{H}$ *with* $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0$, *we form the ratio*

$$\beta_f = \frac{\|f(\mathbf{x})\|_H^2}{\|f(\mathbf{x})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}. \tag{4.9}$$

If we put $\widetilde{\beta} = \sup\{\beta_f : f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0\}$, *then* $\widetilde{\beta} = \mu_1$.

Proof. Since $\overline{S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} = \int_{\mathbf{D}} E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) \overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{y})} d\boldsymbol{\omega} = S(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$, and S is real, then $S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = S(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$.

We consider the integral transform $\mathcal{T}$ defined by (4.2). Since $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})$ is continuous on compact set $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}$, by Theorem 4.2, we have $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{B}_0(H)$ when $\mathbf{x}$ is restricted to $\mathbf{D}$. We now prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is normal. Consider its adjoint operator $\mathcal{T}^*$. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{T}^* F(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) E(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega},$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, \mathcal{T}^* F_2)_H &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} F_1(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \overline{\left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} F_2(\mathbf{y}) E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \right)} d\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} F_1(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{y})} \ \overline{F_2(\mathbf{y})} d\boldsymbol{\omega} d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \mathcal{T} F_1(\mathbf{y}) \overline{F_2(\mathbf{y})} d\mathbf{y} = (\mathcal{T} F_1, F_2)_H. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}^* F)(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{T} \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) E(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} \right) (\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) E(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) \overline{E(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{y} d\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) S(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}^*(\mathcal{T}F)(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathcal{T}^*\left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}\right)(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} F(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})}E(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\omega})d\boldsymbol{\omega}d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\mathbf{y})S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*$. Let $\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{K}$, then

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{K}F(\mathbf{x}) = \int_D F(\mathbf{y})S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{D}. \tag{4.10}$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.11, there is a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of quaternions satisfying $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \cdots$ and a sequence $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in U(H)$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega} = \lambda_n\Phi_n(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{D} \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{y})S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y} = |\lambda_n|^2\Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \mu_n\Phi_n(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{D}. \tag{4.12}$$

Since $A^{\perp} = 0$, which implies the null space of of $\mathcal{T}$ is $\{0\}$ and $\lambda_n \neq 0$ for every n. Hence, $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of H.

From Theorem 4.1, we see that $\mathcal{T}$ is an isometry between $(H,(\cdot,\cdot)_H)$ and $(\mathcal{H},(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}})$. Set

$$\phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{T}\Phi_n)(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}.$$

Then $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ as $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of H. Moreover, $\phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_n\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ for every $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{D}$ from (4.11). Thus $\phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ is an extension of $\lambda_n\Phi_n$. Furthermore,

$$(\phi_m,\phi_n)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\Phi_m,\Phi_n)_H = \delta_{mn},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbf{D}} \phi_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{\phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})}d\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \lambda_m\Phi_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{\lambda_n\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})}d\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \lambda_m(\Phi_m,\Phi_n)_H\overline{\lambda_n} = \mu_n\delta_{mn}.\end{aligned}$$

If we regard $\mathcal{T}$ as an operator of $B(H,\mathcal{H})$, then $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*$ is also an operator of $B(H,\mathcal{H})$. Thus $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{D}$ of (4.10) can be replaced by $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbf{X}$. Since $\mathcal{T}^*\Phi_n = \overline{\lambda}_n\Phi_n$, then

$$\overline{\lambda}_n\mathcal{T}\Phi_n = \mathcal{T}(\overline{\lambda}_n\Phi_n) = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*\Phi_n = \mathcal{K}\Phi_n.$$

Hence, $\phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\lambda}_n^{-1}\mathcal{K}\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$. From the definition of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, we easily find

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_n\phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_n\mathcal{T}\Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) &= \lambda_n\int_{\mathbf{D}} \Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \lambda_n\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_n\phi_n(\mathbf{x}) &= \lambda_n\overline{\lambda}_n\overline{\lambda}_n^{-1}K\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})\\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}}\lambda_n\Phi_n(\mathbf{y})S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}\\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}}\phi_n(\mathbf{y})S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain (4.3) and (4.4).

For given $F\in H$, $f(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{T}F(\mathbf{x})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f(\mathbf{x})-f(\mathbf{y})| &= \left|\int_{\mathbf{D}}F(\boldsymbol{\omega})\left[\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})-E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})}\right]d\boldsymbol{\omega}\right|\\ &\le \|F\|_H\left[\int_{\mathbf{D}}|E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})-E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})|^2d\boldsymbol{\omega}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

Since $E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})$ is continuous on compact set $\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{X}_1$, then $E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{X}_1$. Thus $\forall\varepsilon>0$, $\exists\delta>0$, when $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in X_1$ and $d(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})<\delta$,

$$|E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})-E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{y})|<\frac{\varepsilon}{\|F\|_H\cdot(\varrho(\mathbf{D}))^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

holds for every $\boldsymbol{\omega}\in\mathbf{D}$, where $\varrho(\mathbf{D})$ is the Lebesgue measure of $\mathbf{D}$. Therefore

$$|f(\mathbf{x})-f(\mathbf{y})|<\varepsilon.$$

Hence, $f(\mathbf{x})$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbf{X}_1$.

Since $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of H, thus

$$\begin{aligned}E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(E(\cdot,\mathbf{x}),\Phi_n(\cdot))_H\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\overline{(\Phi_n(\cdot),E(\cdot,\mathbf{x}))_H}\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})}\lambda_n^{-1}\phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) &= (E(\cdot,\mathbf{y}),E(\cdot,\mathbf{x}))_H\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(E(\cdot,\mathbf{y}),\Phi_n(\cdot))_H(\Phi_n(\cdot),E(\cdot,\mathbf{x}))_H\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{y})}\phi_n(\mathbf{x}).\end{aligned}$$

If $E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})$ is continuous and bounded on $\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{X}$, suppose that $|E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})|<M_1$ for every $(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})\in\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{X}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}0<S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\phi_n(\mathbf{x})|^2\\ &= (E(\cdot,\mathbf{x}),E(\cdot,\mathbf{x}))_H\\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}}|E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})|^2d\boldsymbol{\omega}<M_1^2\cdot\varrho(\mathbf{D})<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{X}_1$ and given positive ε, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{n=k}^{m}\left|\overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{y})}\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\right|\right)^2 &\le \sum_{n=k}^{m}|\phi_n(\mathbf{y})|^2\sum_{n=k}^{m}|\phi_n(\mathbf{x})|^2\\ &\le \sum_{n=k}^{m}|\phi_n(\mathbf{y})|^2 S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})\\ &\le \varepsilon M_1^2\cdot\varrho(\mathbf{D}) \quad \text{for } k,m\ge N(\mathbf{y},\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

As $E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})$ is continuous, it is easily seen that $S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ is continuous. Therefore, by Dini's theorem, the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\phi_n(\mathbf{y})|^2$ to $S(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y})$ on $\mathbf{X}_2$ must be uniform. The dependence upon $\mathbf{y}$ of $N(\mathbf{y},\varepsilon)$ is thus actually extrinsic, from which fact the desired uniform (and absolute) convergence of the series to $S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ on $\mathbf{X}_1\times\mathbf{X}_2$ immediately follows.

Since $\{E_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})=E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x}_n)\}$ is an orthonomal basis of H, we have $F(\boldsymbol{\omega})=\sum_n(F,E_n)_H E_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})$. Set $F_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})=\sum_{n\le m}(F,E_n)_H E_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ then F_m converges to F in the norm as $m\to\infty$. Note that $f(\mathbf{x}_n)=(F,E_n)_H$ and $S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_n)=(E_n,E(\cdot,\mathbf{x})_H$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}F_m(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{n\le m}(F,E_n)_H(E_n,E(\cdot,\mathbf{x})_H\\ &= \sum_{n\le m}f(\mathbf{x}_n)S(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_n).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{T}F_m(\mathbf{x})$ converges uniformly to $\mathcal{T}F(\mathbf{x})$ on $\mathbf{X}$, that is, (4.7) converges uniformly on $\mathbf{X}$, since

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathcal{T}F(\mathbf{x})-\mathcal{T}F_m(\mathbf{x})|^2\\ =\ &\left|\int_{\mathbf{D}}[F(\boldsymbol{\omega})-F_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})]\overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})}d\boldsymbol{\omega}\right|^2\\ \le\ &\int_{\mathbf{D}}|F(\boldsymbol{\omega})-F_m(\boldsymbol{\omega})|^2\,d\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\int_{\mathbf{D}}|E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x})|^2\,d\boldsymbol{\omega}\\ \le\ &\|F-F_m\|_H^2\cdot M_1^2\cdot\varrho(\mathbf{D}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{E_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})\}$ is an orthonomal basis of H, then

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}) &= (F,E_{\mathbf{x}})_H\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(F,\Phi_n)_H(\Phi_n,E_{\mathbf{x}})_H\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(F,\Phi_n)_H\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(F,E_m)_H(E_m,\Phi_n)_H\right)\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}f(\mathbf{x}_m)\overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_m)}\right)\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}f(\mathbf{x}_m)\overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_m)}\right)\phi_n(\mathbf{x}).\end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, $S(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_l)}\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_m)$; on the other hand, $S(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_l) = (E_l, E_m)_H = \delta_{ml}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_l)}\phi_n(\mathbf{x}_m) = \delta_{ml}.$$

Since $S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi_n(\mathbf{x})|^2$ converges uniformly on $\mathbf{D}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{D}} S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\phi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\phi_n(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $f = \mathcal{T}F$. From (4.1), we have

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|F\|_H^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2,$$

where $a_n = (F, \Phi_n)_H$. As $f(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$ when $\mathbf{x}$ is restricted in $\mathbf{D}$, it can be expanded into a series $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ where

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \overline{E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x})} d\boldsymbol{\omega} \right) \overline{\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \left(\overline{\int_{\mathbf{D}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}} \right) d\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \left(\overline{T^* \Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})} \right) d\boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \overline{\Phi_n(\boldsymbol{\omega})} d\boldsymbol{\omega} \right) \lambda_n = a_n \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|f\|_H^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 |\lambda_n|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \mu_n.$$

Since $\{\mu_n\}$ is monotonically decreasing and positive, Therefore

$$\beta_f = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \mu_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2} \le \mu_1.$$

Taking $f(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_1(\mathbf{x})$, we have $\beta_{\phi_1} = \mu_1$. Thus $\widetilde{\beta} = \mu_1$. □

5 Examples

Let $\tau, \sigma > 0$, $\mathbf{D} = [-\tau, \tau]^2$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$, $E(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{i}\sigma x_1 \omega_1/\tau} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{j}\sigma x_2 \omega_2/\tau}$. Then

$$S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sin \sigma(x_1 - y_1) \sin \sigma(x_2 - y_2)}{\sigma(x_1 - y_1)\sigma(x_2 - y_2)}.$$

We consider the following finite modified inverse quaternion Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1,x_2) &= \mathcal{T}F(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\tau}\int_{\mathbf{D}} F(\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{j}\sigma x_2\omega_2/\tau}\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\sigma x_1\omega_1/\tau}d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $F(\omega_1,\omega_2)\in L^2(\mathbf{D},\mathbb{H})$. It is easy to see that $f(x_1,x_2)$ is σ-bandlimited in QFT sense by variable substitution. Moreover, all the conditions of Theorem 4.4 are satisfied. Then we can find a countably infinite set of $\mathbb{H}$-valued signals $\{\phi_n(\mathbf{x})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ called Prolate Spheroidal Quaternion Wave Signals (PSQWSs) and a set of $\mathbb{H}$-valued numbers $|\lambda_1|\geq|\lambda_2|\geq\cdots$ such that for every $(x_1,x_2)\in\mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_n\phi_n(x_1,x_2) \\ =\ &\frac{1}{2\tau}\int_{[-\tau,\tau]^2}\phi_n(\omega_1,\omega_2)\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{j}\sigma x_2\omega_2\tau}\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\sigma x_1\omega_1/\tau}d\omega_1 d\omega_2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[-\tau,\tau]^2}\phi_n(y_1,y_2)\frac{\sin\sigma(x_1-y_1)\sin\sigma(x_2-y_2)}{\sigma(x_1-y_1)\sigma(x_2-y_2)}\,dy_1dy_2 \\ =\ &|\lambda_n|^2\phi_n(x_1,x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Since $\{E(\boldsymbol{\omega},\mathbf{x}_{n_1n_2})=\frac{1}{2\tau}\mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{i}\omega_1\frac{\pi}{\tau}n_1}\mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{j}\omega_2\frac{\pi}{\tau}n_2}\}_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbf{D},\mathbb{H})$, then for any $f\in\mathcal{H}$, $f(x_1,x_2)$ equals to

$$\sum_{n_1,n_2} f(\frac{\pi}{\sigma}n_1,\frac{\pi}{\sigma}n_2)\frac{\sin(\sigma x_1-n_1\pi)\sin(\sigma x_2-n_2\pi)}{(\sigma x_1-n_1\pi)(\sigma x_2-n_2\pi)}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m_1,m_2} f(\frac{\pi}{\sigma}m_1,\frac{\pi}{\sigma}m_2)\overline{\phi_n(\frac{\pi}{\sigma}m_1,\frac{\pi}{\sigma}m_2)}\right)\phi_n(x_1,x_2).$$

From Plancherel theorem, we have $f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1,x_2)\overline{g(x_1,x_2)}dx_1dx_2 \\ =\ &\frac{\pi^2}{\sigma^2}\int_{[-\tau,\tau]^2} F(\omega_1,\omega_2)\overline{G(\omega_1,\omega_2)}d\omega_1d\omega_2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the inner product of $\mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$(f,g)_{\mathcal{H}}=(F,G)_H.$$

Thus

$$(f,g)_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{\sigma^2}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1,x_2)\overline{g(x_1,x_2)}dx_1dx_2$$

and $(\mathcal{H},(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}})$ is a subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{H})$. By Theorem 4.1, we have $f(x_1,x_2)$ equals to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y_1,y_2)\frac{\sin\sigma(x_1-y_1)\sin\sigma(x_2-y_2)}{\sigma(x_1-y_1)\sigma(x_2-y_2)}dy_1dy_2$$

for any $f(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore,

$$\sup\left\{\frac{\int_{[-\tau,\tau]^2}|f(x_1,x_2)|^2dx_1dx_2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|f(x_1,x_2)|^2dx_1dx_2}\right\}=\frac{\sigma^2\lambda_1^2}{\pi^2}.$$

The extrema is reached if $f(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{T}\Phi_1(x_1, x_2) = \phi_1(x_1, x_2)$.

Remark 5.1 *Suppose that* $\{\varphi_n(x)\}$ *and* $\{\alpha_n\}$ *are classical PSWFs and corresponding eigenvalues with parameters* τ, σ *respectively. Let* $\phi_{mn}(x_1, x_2) = \varphi_m(x_1)\varphi_n(x_2)$ *and* $\lambda_{mn} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi^2}\alpha_m\alpha_n$. *Then* $\{(\phi_{mn}(x_1, x_2), \lambda_{mn})\}$ *satisfy (5.1) and (5.2). Moreover,* $\phi_{mn}(x_1, x_2)$ *are orthogonal and complete in* $L^2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{H})$ *and* λ_{00} *is the maximum of eigenvalues of (5.1).*

6 Acknowledgements

Kit Ian Kou acknowledges financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant (No. 11401606), University of Macau (No. MYRG2015-00058-L2-FST and No. MYRG099(Y1-L2)-FST13-KKI) and the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund (No. FDCT/094/2011/A and No. FDCT/099/2012/A3).

References

[1] W. W. Bell, *Special Functions for Scientists and Engineers.* Van Nostrand, 1968.

[2] H. J. Landau and H. O. Pollak, "Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty-I," *Bell Syst. Technol. J.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 43–64, 1961.

[3] ——, "Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty-II," *Bell Syst. Technol. J.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 65–84, 1961.

[4] ——, "Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty-III: The dimension of the space of essentially time-and band-limited signals," *Bell Syst. Technol. J.*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 1295–1336, 1962.

[5] D. Slepian, "Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty-IV: extensions to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions," *Bell Syst. Technol. J.*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 3009–3057, 1964.

[6] A. Zayed, "A generalization of the prolate spheroidal wave functions," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 135, no. 7, pp. 2193–2203, 2007.

[7] T. Moumni and A. I. Zayed, "A generalization of the prolate spheroidal wave functions with applications to sampling," *Integr. Transf. Spec. F.*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 433–447, 2014.

[8] G. G. Walter and X. Shen, "Sampling with prolate spheroidal wave functions," *Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25–52, 2003.

[9] S. J. Sangwine, "Fourier transforms of colour images using quaternion or hypercomplex, numbers," *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 32, no. 21, pp. 1979–1980, 1996.

[10] N. L. Bihan and S. J. Sangwine, "Quaternion principal component analysis of color images," in *Image Processing, 2003. ICIP 2003. Proceedings. 2003 International Conference on*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2003, pp. I–809.

[11] T. Ell, S. J. Sangwine *et al.*, "Hypercomplex Fourier transforms of color images," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 22–35, 2007.

[12] T. A. Ell, "Quaternion Fourier transform: Re-tooling image and signal processing analysis," in *Quaternion and Clifford Fourier Transforms and Wavelets*. Springer, 2013, pp. 3–14.

[13] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe, and F. Sommen, *Clifford Analysis*. Pitman Books Limited, 1982, vol. 76.

[14] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti, and A. Perotti, "Continuous slice functional calculus in quaternionic Hilbert spaces," *Rev. Math. Phys.*, vol. 25, no. 04, p. 1350006, 2013.

[15] M. Fashandi, "Compact operators on quaternionic Hilbert spaces," *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 249–256, 2013.

[16] D. R. Farenick and B. A. Pidkowich, "The spectral theorem in quaternions," *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 371, pp. 75–102, 2003.

[17] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti, and A. Perotti, "Spectral properties of compact normal quaternionic operators," in *Hypercomplex Analysis: New Perspectives and Applications*. Springer, 2014, pp. 133–143.

[18] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 1987.

[19] B. Bollabás, *Linear Analysis, An Introductory Course*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[20] F. Tobar, D. P. Mandic *et al.*, "Quaternion reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces: Existence and uniqueness conditions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 5736–5749, 2014.

[21] S. Saitoh, "Hilbert spaces induced by Hilbert space valued functions," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 74–78, 1983.